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Architectures

- **Software Architecture**
  - How Software Components Are Organized
  - How Software Components Should Interact

- **System Architecture**
  - Final Instantiation of a Software Architecture

- **Important Styles of Architecture for (Autonomic) Distributed Systems**
  - Layered Architectures
  - Object-Based Architectures
  - Data-Centered Architectures
  - Event-Based Architectures
Architectural Styles

(a) layered architectural style
Architectural Styles (Cont’d)

(b) The object-based architectural style

(b) The object-based architectural style
Architectural Styles (Cont’d)

(a) The event-based architectural style
Architectural Styles (Cont’d)

(b) The shared data-space architectural style

(b) The shared data-space architectural style
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System Architectures

- Centralized Architecture
  - Clients That Request Services from Servers
  - Support for Vertical Distribution
    - Placing different components on different machines

- Decentralized Architecture
  - Process Being a Client and a Server
  - Support for Horizontal Distribution
    - Spitting up a client or server physically into logically equivalent parts with each part operating on its own share of data set
Peer-to-Peer Architectures

- **Overlay Network**
  - A network in which the nodes are formed by the processes and the links represent the possible communication channels

- **Structured P2P Architecture**
  - Overlay network is constructed using a deterministic procedure
    - Distributed Hash Table (DHT)

- **Unstructured P2P Architecture**
  - Overlay network is constructed using a random algorithm
Centralized Architectures

General interaction between a client and a server
Application Layering

Following Layered Architectural Style

- User-Interface Level
- Processing Level
- Data level
The simplified organization of an Internet search engine into three different layers
Multitiered Architectures

- The simplest organization is to have only two types of machines:
  - A client machine containing only the programs implementing (part of) the user-interface level
  - A server machine containing the rest,
    - the programs implementing the processing and data level
Multitiered Architectures (Cont’d)

Alternative client-server organizations (a)–(e)
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An example of a server acting as client
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Structured Peer-to-Peer Architectures

The mapping of data items onto nodes in Chord
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Structured Peer-to-Peer Architectures (Cont’d)

The mapping of data items onto nodes in CAN

Keys associated with node at (0.6,0.7)

(a)
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Peer-to-Peer (P2P) Computing

Definition
- Computing by Sharing Data & Resources on a Very Large Scale w/o Server Requirements

Important Characteristics
- Each Node’s Resource Contribution
- Same Functional Capabilities & Responsibilities of Nodes
- No Central Administration
- Limited Degree of Anonymity
- Unpredictable Availability
- Fault Tolerance

Key Issue: Efficient Data Placement & Access
1st-Generation P2P Systems

- File Sharing and Storage Applications
  - Napster Music Exchange Service
    - Use of central servers to locate files
  - Gnutella
    - Distributed service using scoped broadcast queries

Main Problem: Limited Scalability or No Guarantee That Files Can Be Located
2nd-Generation P2P Systems

**Middleware**

- Application-Independent Management of Distributed Resources on a Global Scale

  - Routing Overlay for locating nodes and objects
    - Scalable
    - Load balanced
    - Adaptive to network dynamics
    - Fault tolerant
    - Efficiently discovering
    - Secure

Using Randomly Distributed Keys to Determine the Placement of Objects and to Retrieve Them

Implementing Key-Based Routing (KBR) Interface:
Routing of Messages to a Live Node Responsible for the Destination Key
# IP vs Overlay Routing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>IP</th>
<th>Application-level routing overlay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Scale</strong></td>
<td>IPv4 is limited to $2^{32}$ addressable nodes. The IPv6 name space is much more generous ($2^{128}$), but addresses in both versions are hierarchically structured and much of the space is preallocated according to administrative requirements.</td>
<td>Peer-to-peer systems can address more objects. The GUID name space is very large and flat ($&gt;2^{128}$), allowing it to be much more fully occupied.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Load balancing</strong></td>
<td>Loads on routers are determined by network topology and associated traffic patterns.</td>
<td>Object locations can be randomized and hence traffic patterns are divorced from the network topology.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Network dynamics</strong></td>
<td>IP routing tables are updated asynchronously on a best-efforts basis with time constants of the order of 1 hour. Redundancy is designed into the IP network by its managers, ensuring tolerance of a single router or network connectivity failure. $n$-fold replication is costly.</td>
<td>Routing tables can be updated synchronously or asynchronously with fractions of a second delays. Routes and object references can be replicated $n$-fold, ensuring tolerance of $n$ failures of nodes or connections.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fault tolerance</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Target identification</strong></td>
<td>Each IP address maps to exactly one target node.</td>
<td>Messages can be routed to the nearest replica of a target object.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Security and anonymity</strong></td>
<td>Addressing is only secure when all nodes are trusted. Anonymity for the owners of addresses is not achievable.</td>
<td>Security can be achieved even in environments with limited trust. A limited degree of anonymity can be provided.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Structured P2P Overlay Networks

- **Supporting Higher-Level Interfaces**
  - **Distributed Hash Table (DHT)**
    - Basic Interface: `put()`, `get()`, `remove()`
    - E.g., Pastry
  - **Distributed Object Location & Routing (DOLR)**
    - Basic Interface: `publish()`, `unpublish()`, `routeToObject()`
    - E.g., Tapestry

- **Ignoring/Considering Network Distances**
  - **Shortest Overlay-Hop Routing**
    - E.g., Chord
  - **Locally Optimal Routing**
    - E.g., Tapestry
Chord Protocol [Keifer03]

Simple Key Location

// ask node n to find the successor of id
n.find_successor(id)
    if (id ∈ (n; successor])
        return successor;
else
    // forward the query around the circle
    return successor.find_successor(id);
Cord Protocol (Cont’d)

- Scalable Key Location

\[
\text{finger}[i] = \text{successor} \left( n + 2^{i-1} \right)
\]
Cord Protocol (Cont’d)

**Scalable Key Location**

// ask node n to find the successor of id
n.find_successor(id)
if (id ∈ (n; successor])
    return successor;
else n0 = closest_preceding_node(id);
return n0.find_successor(id);

// search the local table for the highest
// predecessor of id
n.closest_preceding_node(id)
for i = m downto 1
    if (finger[i] ∈ (n; id))
        return finger[i];
return n;

Is This Necessary?
Cord Protocol (Cont’d)

- Node Joining/Leaving

![Diagram of Node Joining/Leaving in Cord Protocol]
Cord Protocol (Cont’d)

- Properties of Chord
  - Load Balance
    - Acting as a Distributed Hash Function
  - Decentralization
    - Fully distributed
  - Scalability
    - Lookup cost growing as the log of # of nodes
  - Availability
    - Enabling the node responsible for a key to be found via automatic internal-table adjustment
  - Flexible naming
    - Using flat key-space
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